



Theodora Andreolas (as private and confidential)

Course: SPECIAL EVENT PUBLICITY

Semester: Spring 2021 Prepared by: Office of Institutional Research

The following may help understand the data in this report.

1.) Value labels for Question 1:

5 = Very Fast; 4 = Fast; 3 = Just about right; 2 = Slow; 1 = Very slow

Value labels for Questions 2-13:

5 = Strongly Agree; 4 = Agree; 3 = Somewhat Agree; 2 = Disagree; 1 = Strongly Disagree

Value labels for Question 14:

5 = Excellent; 4 = Good; 3 = Fair; 2 = Poor; 1 = Very poor

2.) The responses to the open-ended questions appear at the end of the report.

Theodora Andreolas


SPECIAL EVENT PUBLICITY (12179)
No. of responses = 6




Survey Results

Student Evaluation of Teaching


1.1. Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.




1.2 The course goals and grading procedures were clear.




1.3 Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.




1.4 This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.




1.5 This course increased my ability to think critically.




1.6 The course presentation (lectures/activities, etc.) was well organized.



1.7 The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.




1.8 The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.



1.9 The instructor answered my questions.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.67
dev.=0.52

1.10 The instructor was available for extra help.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=5
av.=4.6
dev.=0.55

1.11a The instructor provided feedback on my assignments and/or examinations.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.67
dev.=0.52

1.11b If so, the feedback was provided in the time frame communicated by the instructor.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.5
dev.=0.55

1.12a The instructor made efforts to include me in the course.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.5
dev.=0.55

1.12b The instructor made clear where I might find support if I needed help of any kind.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.67
dev.=0.52

1.13 I would recommend this instructor to other students.

Strongly Agree




Strongly Disagree

n=6
av.=4.67
dev.=0.52

1.14 Rate this professor's teaching.

Excellent



Very poor

n=6
av.=4.5
dev.=0.55

Profile

Subunit: UG College of Professional Studies

Name of the instructor: Theodora Andreolas

Name of the course: SPECIAL EVENT PUBLICITY
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Student Evaluation of Teaching

1.1. Rate the pace at which the course material was taught.	Very fast					Very slow	n=6	av.=3.00	md=3.00	dev.=0.00
1.2 The course goals and grading procedures were clear.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.3 Tests, papers, and/or other assignments were graded fairly.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5.00	dev.=0.52
1.4 This course increased my understanding of the subject matter.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.33	md=4.00	dev.=0.52
1.5 This course increased my ability to think critically.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.6 The course presentation (lectures/activities, etc.) was well organized.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.33	md=4.00	dev.=0.52
1.7 The instructor's presentations were clear and understandable.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.8 The instructor conveyed interest and enthusiasm in the subject matter.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.9 The instructor answered my questions.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5.00	dev.=0.52
1.10 The instructor was available for extra help.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=4.60	md=5.00	dev.=0.55
1.11a The instructor provided feedback on my assignments and/or examinations.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5.00	dev.=0.52
1.11b If so, the feedback was provided in the time frame communicated by the instructor.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.12a The instructor made efforts to include me in the course.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55
1.12b The instructor made clear where I might find support if I needed help of any kind.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5.00	dev.=0.52
1.13 I would recommend this instructor to other students.	Strongly Agree					Strongly Disagree	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5.00	dev.=0.52
1.14 Rate this professor's teaching.	Excellent					Very poor	n=6	av.=4.50	md=4.50	dev.=0.55

Comments Report

Student Evaluation of Teaching

1.15 What was the most beneficial part of this course?

- Great teaching
- Guest speakers, Weekly quizzes
- I feel Professor Andreolas herself is the most beneficial part of it, she just knows how to organize things and how to make you learn something without necessarily making you read 5 chapters of a book. PPT were always very well organized.
- The weekly quizzes and case studies helped me understand the material even if we didn't have class.
- learned how to plan a event

1.16 What was the least beneficial part of this course?

- Nothing
- The breakout rooms were a bit weird because we don't know how to ask for help when we're stuck on a question.
- none
- zoom

1.17 What improvements would you suggest your instructor make in this course?

- Include a bit more structure for the final project- people waited too long because there were no other deadlines besides the last.
- Maybe have soft deadlines for the group project to keep us on track.
- Nothing
- none
- nothing was a great class